

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/834,061	04/11/2001	William L. Post	10003807-1	10003807-1 5056	
57299	7590 09/07/2006		EXAMINER		
AVAGO TECHNOLOGIES, LTD.			AGGARWAL, YOGESH K		
P.O. BOX 19 DENVER, (920 CO 80201-1920		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ			2622	2622	
			DATE MAILED: 09/07/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/834,061	POST, WILLIAM L.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Yogesh K. Aggarwal	2622	

	Yogesh K. Aggarwal	2622				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 26 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no						
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	•					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month pearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	e filed within two mon	ths of the date			
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must l	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.			
AMENDMENTS	had also to the late of filling and also	6 - 20 - 4 1 - 4 1 1 1				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co 			because			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	TE Delow),				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	•	educing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		•				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	* **	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. \square Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	s):	•				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendn	nent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 11-20. Claim(s) objected to: 10.		rill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).			
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the c	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) 13. Other:	. (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added claim 21 does not recite all the limitations of objected to claim 10 and claims 1 and 8 on which it is dependent upon. Therefore it raises new issues that would require further search and/or consideration.

VIVEK SRIVASTAVA PRIMARY EXAMINER